

# Disclosure

of things evolutionists don't want you to know

Volume 25 Issue 9

[www.ScienceAgainstEvolution.info](http://www.ScienceAgainstEvolution.info)

June 2021

## UFOs, DEBRIS, AND EVOLUTION

*An American government report about UFOs, and a cancelled American TV show are relevant to the theory of evolution.*

An anticipated government report on Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) and a TV show about a wrecked alien spacecraft have captured the attention of many Americans recently. They are being addressed by this newsletter because of the relevance of UFOs to the theory of evolution.

### THE TV SHOW



The premise of the show was that an extraterrestrial spacecraft entered our solar system and suffered some sort of catastrophe. Debris from the wreckage rained down on Earth. Since the lifeform which created the vehicle was capable of interstellar travel, and we aren't, that alien lifeform must certainly be more technically advanced than we are. **Something could be learned by studying the debris.** The military term for this is, "foreign material exploitation." When it is done by civilians, it is called, "industrial espionage."

As conveyed by the TV program logo, some of the wreckage might be missing, but there is enough of the "debris" that we can fill in the

missing pieces of the letters and make sense of it; and "Every piece holds the power to change our world." The TV series described the competition to get that power and take advantage of it.

It was an excellent premise—but the show was poorly written. The program was justifiably cancelled after the first season without any resolution. Despite that, we can use the shambles of the program to make some points about how the search for alien life is relevant to the theory of evolution.

### HOW TO RECOGNIZE LIFE

Intelligent viewers might have recognized that the debris was not really a collection of inanimate pieces of a spacecraft built by aliens—the debris was actually an invading army of aliens which had intentionally disguised itself as wreckage. Like the Greeks in the Trojan Horse, the aliens came to Earth in a form we would not recognize so we would welcome them into our laboratories, where they could do whatever (good or evil) they had come to Earth to do.

In real life, **some people have suggested that the search for extraterrestrial life could fail because alien lifeforms might be so different that we don't even recognize them.** Intentionally or not, this point was made by the TV program. None of the characters on the show gave the slightest indication that they thought the debris was alive. They all treated the debris as inanimate material.

The debris had power to move things and control people. The individual pieces of debris

were working together to achieve a goal. The TV program didn't last long enough for us to figure out what that goal was; but that was part of the charm of the program. It was hard to tell which of the competing forces (The United States CIA, the British MIA, the Russian Orbital project, the independent Influx group, and the debris itself) were the good guys, and which were the bad guys. Which ones thought the world would be better off under their control, and which ones thought they personally would benefit if they ruled the world? Since the show was cancelled, we will never know.

## ON PURPOSE

What we do know is that willful purpose is a reliable sign of life. Dead things do not exhibit volition. That is, they don't want to do anything on their own. Even self-driving cars are controlled by algorithms created on purpose by people. Inanimate things can be used for a purpose by living things—but dead things don't act on their own to achieve a goal. There is purpose in life.

Earthly space programs seem to have missed this point. Nations have sent out probes looking for organic molecules which could have been the precursor of life on the Moon, Venus, Mars, and asteroids; but to my knowledge no space probe has ever looked for purpose (signs of design).

If visitors from another planet found traces of water on the Moon, that would not prove to them that there was once life on the Moon—but if they found one of the rovers we left there, they would know beyond a shadow of a doubt that there had been life on the Moon. The detection of phosphine gas (PH<sub>3</sub>) on Venus<sup>1</sup> doesn't prove there has ever been any life there. The discovery of a vehicle would.

The theory of evolution is based on a purpose-free assumption. Evolutionists believe life just evolved by accident, for no good reason. It just happened. They think there is no meaning to life.

In fact, meaning is the distinguishing characteristic of life. All living things do something on purpose to reach a goal. The goal of an apple tree is to grow apples which will produce more trees. An apple tree has purpose, meaning, or whatever you want to call the motivation to grow roots, a trunk, leaves, and fruit because an apple tree is alive.

Unless, of course, the apple tree doesn't do these things because it is dead! That's how you can tell a dead apple tree from a living one.

<sup>1</sup> Disclosure, October 2020, "Life on Venus", <http://scienceagainstevolution.info/v25i1n.htm>

## WHY HAVEN'T THEY COME YET?

Some evolutionists wonder, "If intelligent life is 'out there,' why hasn't it come to Earth already?"

Christians believe that it has. In addition to the obvious example 2,000 years ago, many people have had a personal experience in which a stranger miraculously appeared to help them when they needed it, and then mysteriously disappeared. As some scientists have suggested, extraterrestrial life might exist, but be so different from what we expect that it might not be recognized. Whether or not "angels" are really unrecognized extraterrestrial beings is a discussion for another venue.

## UFOs ARE UAPs

A government report was scheduled to be released a few days before the deadline for this newsletter—but it was delayed past our publication deadline. We can only guess what it will say.



Since actual objects would have to violate the laws of physics to do what they appear to do, the term "Unidentified Flying Objects" (UFOs) has been replaced by "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena" (UAPs). This broadens the category by including things such as mirages, measurement errors, technical glitches, hoaxes, delusions, and mass hysteria as well as actual objects.

If UAPs are top secret military aircraft (ours or theirs) we don't expect the government to admit it. Are UAPs just weather balloons? Are they meteors? Are they optical illusions? Are they hoaxes? Maybe. If these phenomena can't be explained by natural laws, could they be supernatural? Will the government report consider that possibility? We will have to wait to find out.

## ALIEN EVOLUTION

What if at least one UAP is a spacecraft designed by extraterrestrial lifeforms? Putting aside the obvious importance to global security, what implications does that have for the theory of evolution?

Since the aliens have technology earthlings

don't have, one can logically conclude the builders of the UFOs must be more **technically advanced** than we are. **If biased by a poor science education, one might say the builders of the UFOs must be more HIGHLY EVOLVED than we are.** Believing advancement is the result of evolution, they would mistakenly think extraterrestrial UFOs with advanced technology proves that the theory of evolution is true. (That logical fallacy is called, "circular logic.")

We humans are more technically advanced than apes. That does not prove we are more highly evolved than apes. The mere existence of advanced technology does not prove evolution. **If there are more technically advanced beings elsewhere, it doesn't prove they evolved there. They could have been created there.**

Discovery of the existence of bacteria on Mars would not prove that bacteria evolved on Mars, just as the existence of bacteria on Earth doesn't prove they evolved on Earth. Creationists could argue that since God created bacteria on Earth, He could have created bacteria on Mars. The existence of bacteria here, or on Mars, proves neither evolution nor creation.

There is general consensus that the world needs a savior. The disagreement is whether that savior should be Jesus, Dr. Fauci, Greta Thunberg, Donald Trump, someone else, or ourselves. **Some UFO enthusiasts hope that a more a highly evolved form of life will come to Earth to save us** by ending war and hunger with their advanced technology and benevolence. That advanced civilization could be our savior. (Of course, that advanced civilization might want to enslave us "for our own good" and their personal benefit; but let's not think about that.)

### ASK AN ALIEN

**Some people want to make contact with extraterrestrial life to communicate with it—but not to learn ways to cure diseases and eliminate hunger. Some of them want to ask, "What do you think about Jesus of Nazareth?" to disprove the Bible.** They are hoping the aliens will say, "Jesus who?" But the aliens might answer, "He is the Son of God."

Since **many evolutionists** don't know what they don't believe in, they think that discovery of extraterrestrial life will prove the Bible wrong. They **don't know the Bible describes some extraterrestrial creatures.**

**In appearance their form was human,** but each of them had four faces and four wings. Their legs were straight; their feet were like those of a calf and gleamed like burnished bronze. Under their wings on their four sides

they had human hands. All four of them had faces and wings, and the wings of one touched the wings of another. Each one went straight ahead; they did not turn as they moved.

Their faces looked like this: Each of the four had the face of a human being, and on the right side each had the face of a lion, and on the left the face of an ox; each also had the face of an eagle. Such were their faces. They each had two wings spreading out upward, each wing touching that of the creature on either side; and each had two other wings covering its body. Each one went straight ahead. Wherever the spirit would go, they would go, without turning as they went. The appearance of the living creatures was like burning coals of fire or like torches. Fire moved back and forth among the creatures; it was bright, and lightning flashed out of it. **The creatures sped back and forth like flashes of lightning.**

As I looked at the living creatures, I saw a wheel on the ground beside each creature with its four faces. **This was the appearance and structure of the wheels:** They sparkled like topaz, and all four looked alike. Each appeared to be made like a wheel intersecting a wheel. As they moved, they would go in any one of the four directions the creatures faced; the wheels did not change direction as the creatures went. Their rims were high and awesome, and all four rims were full of eyes all around.

When the living creatures moved, the wheels beside them moved; and **when the living creatures rose from the ground, the wheels also rose.** Wherever the spirit would go, they would go, and the wheels would rise along with them, because the spirit of the living creatures was in the wheels. When the creatures moved, they also moved; when the creatures stood still, they also stood still; and when the creatures rose from the ground, the wheels rose along with them, because the spirit of **the living creatures was in the wheels.**<sup>2</sup>

That seems to be a pretty good description of extraterrestrial beings in flying saucers (flying wheels) given the limitations of the Hebrew language!

Because it can't be taught in public schools, **most evolutionists** don't know what the Bible says. Therefore, they think Christians and Jews don't believe intelligent life exists anywhere other than on Earth, and **mistakenly think the discovery of alien life would disprove the Bible; but it would actually confirm what the Bible teaches.**

<sup>2</sup> Ezekiel 1:5b-21, New International Version

# IMPROBABLE RETROVIRUSES

*Evolutionists believe in ridiculous probability.*

Last month's "six-page" newsletter <sup>3</sup> ran long because it contained a 5-page background article on viruses, DNA, and RNA <sup>4</sup> to support a 5-page rebuttal <sup>5</sup> of a video that had been viewed nearly 66,000 times. That video, which has now been viewed more than 72,000 times, was a straw man argument which misrepresented the creationists' position in order to debunk it. It claimed that creationists believe that viruses independently corrupted the DNA of chimpanzees and humans in exactly the same places by chance, and used statistics to show how improbable that would be. Their calculations were mathematically correct, but irrelevant, because creationists don't believe it happened by chance. Creationists believe the similarities are the result of design. It was like making fun of a person for believing that different brands of automobiles just happened to have the same number of cylinders in their engines by chance, when that person believed the similarities were the result of design. The argument in the video disproved something that creationists don't believe.

Since last month's newsletter was already nearly twice as long as normal, we could only mention in passing the fact that it is the evolutionists who believe in ridiculously improbable chance. Al sent us an email in which he thought that we should have made that point more strongly. The two key sentences in his email were,

Out of the trillions of sperms that I have produced in almost 60 years nine have been successful. So far none have been successful in the long run (I don't (yet?) have any grandchildren).

## THE FERTILIZATION LOTTERY

The producers of the video believe that endogenous retroviruses are the result of accidental viral infections in the DNA of eggs or sperm which are then passed down to subsequent generations.

Last month we quoted the video as saying,

In mammals, modern retroviruses usually infect white blood cells. If, however, a retrovirus happens to infect a sperm cell or egg cell, and if that sperm or egg cell ends up participating in fertilization, the resulting child will have a copy of virus DNA in every single one of her cells. She'll even pass it on to her kids if she has children. <sup>6</sup>

This is because viral infections require the virus to come in contact with a cell. A virus is likely to come in contact with a white blood cell (which fights viral infections, on purpose) in the bloodstream, but not with an egg or sperm that is safely protected inside the body. So, the producers of the video start off with the improbable situation that a single sperm or single egg will get infected. The exact probability is anybody's guess, but the evolutionists who made the video admitted it isn't likely.

To Al's point, what is the probability that the unlucky sperm that was infected with the virus will be lucky enough to fertilize an egg? According to the Live Science website,

In fact, the average male will produce roughly 525 billion sperm cells over a lifetime and shed at least one billion of them per month. A healthy adult male can release between 40 million and 1.2 billion sperm cells in a single ejaculation.

In contrast, women are born with an average 2 million egg follicles, the reproductive structures that give rise to eggs. By puberty, a majority of those follicles close up and only about 450 will ever release mature eggs for fertilization. <sup>7</sup>

In Al's case (whose remarkable virility we applaud) only 9 of his 525 billion sperm cells produced offspring. What are the chances that the one unlucky sperm cell (out of his 525 billion) that was infected by a virus happened to be one of the nine lucky sperm cells that fertilized an egg? If you bought nine tickets for a lottery in which 525 billion tickets were sold, would you expect to win? (Al, with his nine lucky sperm, had more lucky sperm than many men. ☺)

The odds for women's eggs are a little bit better. The virus has a 450-out-of-2-million (0.0225%) chance of infecting an egg that will be released for fertilization. The 450 number wasn't

<sup>3</sup> <http://scienceagainstevolution.info/vol25-8.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> Disclosure, May 2021, "The Evolution of COVID-19", <http://scienceagainstevolution.info/v25i8f.htm>

<sup>5</sup> Disclosure, May 2021, "Retrovirus Rebuttal", <http://scienceagainstevolution.info/v25i8e.htm>

<sup>6</sup> Clearly Stated, "DNA Evidence That Humans & Chimps Share A Common Ancestor: Endogenous Retroviruses", <https://youtu.be/oXfDF5Ew3Gc>, time 2:42-3:04

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.livescience.com/32437-why-are-250-million-sperm-cells-released-during-sex.html>

just pulled out of a hat.

A woman can get pregnant and have a baby as soon as she begins ovulating, or producing eggs. This typically occurs about a year after they first begin menstruating, which for North American women, usually happens between the ages of 11 and 12. Some women start ovulating late, though, and others, extremely early. The early onset of menstruation is called "precocious puberty."<sup>8</sup>

Menopause is defined as the time in a woman's life, usually between age 45 and 55 years, when the ovaries stop producing eggs (ovulating) and menstrual periods end. After menopause, a woman can no longer get pregnant.<sup>9</sup>

If a woman starts menstruating at age 11, and continues until she is 46, that's 35 years of fertility with 13 periods per year, which works out to 455 eggs released. But not every one of those 455 eggs will have a chance to be fertilized.

For most women, with a 28 day cycle, they will be fertile on days 11-17. Her most fertile days will be days 13, 14 and 15.<sup>10</sup>

For all 455 eggs to get a chance at being fertilized, she would have to have sex at least once every seven days from her 11<sup>th</sup> birthday until her 46<sup>th</sup> birthday. But she could not get pregnant more than once every nine months (at best). Theoretically, she could have one baby in every one of those 35 years from age 11 to 46. No woman loves her husband that much! ☺

If by some unspecified small chance, one of Al's wife's eggs was infected by a virus, there is only a nine-in-2-million (0.00045%) chance that egg would be fertilized and result in a child. If, on the outside chance that one of Al's 525 billion sperms was unlucky enough to be infected, there is only a 0.00000000171% chance one of his nine sons would have been the result of the infected sperm.

According to the video,

It turns out that the human genome contains thousands of endogenous retrovirus segments, long stretches of DNA with sequences that match those of retroviruses. Luckily for us, none of ours can still make full-fledged viruses. They have simply mutated too much to perform

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.livescience.com/33170-youngest-age-give-birth-pregnancy.html>

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/menopause-beyond-the-basics>

<sup>10</sup> <https://www.conceiveeasy.com/get-pregnant/how-many-days-a-month-is-a-woman-fertile/>

their original virus-y functions.<sup>11</sup>

So, if, by some incalculably small chance that an egg or sperm cell gets infected in the first place, there is a calculably small chance (0.00045% for an egg or 0.00000000171% for a sperm) that endogenous retrovirus segment will get passed on. It is as unlikely as a winning lottery ticket getting coffee spilled on it. It is "unlikely squared." According to the video, this unlikely event happened thousands of times before apes and humans split from their common ancestor millions of years ago.

## UNVERIFIED ASSUMPTIONS

That's just the improbability fallacy. It is merely their assumption that endogenous retrovirus segments are actually the result of a virus infecting the DNA of a sperm or egg cell. They also assume it didn't kill the offspring because it luckily lost its "original virus-y function." They also assume we are now fully dependent upon that mutation.

Recent studies have found in at least one case, it seems that an ancient mammal was infected with a virus that ended up aiding the animal in reproduction. Many of that early mammal's descendants, humans included, eventually became fully dependent on the virus gene. We can no longer reproduce without it.<sup>12</sup>

As we said last month, there is no proof that ever happened. It just "seems" to have happened. They hope it happened because it would explain away the obvious evidence of design in the DNA molecule. We are fully dependent upon those stretches of DNA because they are necessary components of the design, not mutated viruses which accidentally got into the DNA.

Clearly Stated had the gall to accuse creationists falsely of believing that similar sections of DNA in chimpanzee and human DNA are the result of chance with incredibly low coincidental probability; but creationists don't believe chance had anything to do with it. They believe the similarity is evidence that humans and chimps were designed to have some similar characteristics which are the result of similar genes. It is the evolutionists who foolishly believe that all those similar segments of DNA are the result of incredibly improbable chance.

<sup>11</sup> Clearly Stated, "DNA Evidence That Humans & Chimps Share A Common Ancestor: Endogenous Retroviruses", <https://youtu.be/oXfDF5Ew3Gc>, time 4:19-4:38

<sup>12</sup> *ibid.*, time 3:46-4:04

# CREATION AND SCIENCE CHRONICLE

<http://blog.creation.org>

## *News and Views on Creation vs. Evolution*

The website review for this month looks at a site that is a blog that presents electronic copies of the official publication of various creation/evolution organizations. The Oxford Language dictionary definition states a "blog is a regularly updated website or web page, typically one run by an individual or small group, that is written in an informal or conversational style."

The Creation and Science Chronicle blog provides most of the usual means for navigating the information presented on a blog site. A simple HOME link will guide the reader back to the main page of the site. A NOW READING link provides links to Planned books, Current books, and Recent books that the site creator recommends. A CATEGORIES link presents the site reader with a pull-down menu of various categories to quickly find information of interest. An ARCHIVES link allows you to select a particular month, going back as far as June 2006, of articles that have been archived on the site. A number presented after a date will tell you how many articles are discussed for that month. A STUFF link provides detailed information about how the site was created.

The main page of the site begins by presenting information for six different creation/evolution organizations for December 2020. This may indicate that the site is no longer being actively updated. In any case, there is much to explore from the material available for the period June 2006 to December 2020.

The way information is presented for different creation/evolution organizations varies. For *Creation Illustrated*, Vol. 27 No. 3, Fall 2020, quarterly, you learn the cost, Editor/Publisher, the complete table of contents, the mailing address, and link to their website. For *Acts & Facts*, Vol. 49, No. 12, monthly, you also learn the cost (free), the Executive editor, and are presented with a table of contents with links to the articles in the publication, a link to the PDF of the issue, the mailing address, and link to the website.

When searching for topics by using the CATEGORIES link, you arrive at a list of articles covering that topic which presents a title, brief description, and a READ MORE link. This link generally provides an abstract of the article and links to a pdf of the article.

Since fourteen years of discussions about creation vs. evolution are archived on this site there is much to explore on this site. Just review the information for recent years or use the CATEGORIES link to find articles covering topics such as archeology, astronomy, biology, geology, and more.



**You are permitted (even encouraged)  
to copy and distribute this newsletter.**

**Disclosure**, the Science Against Evolution newsletter, is edited by R. David Pogge.

All back issues are on-line at [ScienceAgainstEvolution.info](http://ScienceAgainstEvolution.info).